IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3502 OF 1992

Shree Someshwar Sahakari Sheti
Audyogic ...society.. Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Maharashtra and ors. Respondents

None for the petitioner.
Mr Prashant Naik, for respondent no.4.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE,J.
DATE : FEBRUARY 23, 2005.

P.C.

1. On 16.2.05, when this petition was called out for hearing none appeared for the petitioner. Hence it was adjourned to 17.2.2005. On that day also none appeared for the petitioner and once again the matter was adjourned to 21.2.05 at the request of Mr Prashant Naik, learned Advocate for respondent no.4. Even today when the matter was called out none appeared for the petitioner. Mr Naik, learned counsel for respondent no.4, has no instructions from his clients as to whether they are interested in these proceedings since the interim relief granted by this Court is operating against them for last more than 14 years. The petitioner also seems to have lost interest in the petition and hence nobody is appearing in the petition. In the circumstances, I am constrained to dismiss this petition for nonprosecution. Order accordingly.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)